

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/883,279	06/19/2001	Shinobu Tanaka	Q63518	1528
7590 10/22/2003			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION ZINN			FOX, CHARLES A	
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20037-3213			3652	
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_
	Application No. 09/883,279	Applicant(s) TANAKA, SHINOBU	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Charles A. Fox	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (1) and (1) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CO void abandonment of this appli ) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a cch places the application in	
<u> </u>	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in inths after the mailing date of the final rejuster.	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
<ul><li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's</li><li>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li></ul>			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	erially reducing or simplifying the	<del>)</del>
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	etion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment	
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 3-9.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	—· 12 (10/8)	_
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		Part of Paper No. 15	Ŗ
5. Patent and Trademark Office TOL -303 (Rev. 04-01)	ony Action	SUTECHNO Part of Paper No. 15	_



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the specification does not describe the second switching state as both allowing and stopping the lifting and lowering of the forks when the operating lever is tilted. As such the intended scope of the claims is not known as stated in the final office action mailed on July 15, 2003.

Continuation of 10. Other: The amendment to the abstract has been entered into the record.